

Middle Tennessee Poll

Overall Frequencies and Percentages, Fall 2000

These frequencies and percentages represent the Middle Tennessee population properly weighted for age, gender, and race to match census figures. When reading these charts, the "Valid Percent" is the most relevant figure because it represents only those who gave us an opinion on each issue.

For the full text of each question, consult the "Codebook" link on the home page.

CHLIVING Changes/growth made MT life better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Better	1	233	53	55	55
Same	2	112	26	27	82
Worse	3	75	17	18	100
Don't know	33	16	4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
		Total	437	100	100
Valid cases	421	Missing cases	16		

CHYOU Changes/growth made your life better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Better	1	165	38	39	39
Same	2	211	48	50	89
Worse	3	45	10	11	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	15	4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
		Total	437	100	100
Valid cases	421	Missing cases	16		

NFL Titans made MT life better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

Better	1	278	64	68	68
Same	2	98	22	24	91
Worse	3	36	8	9	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	22	5	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	
-------	--	-----	-----	-----	--

Valid cases 412 Missing cases 25

NHL Preditors made MT life better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Better	1	205	47	55	55
Same	2	143	33	39	94
Worse	3	23	5	6	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	65	15	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	
-------	--	-----	-----	-----	--

Valid cases 370 Missing cases 67

MEXICANS Mexican immigrants made MT life better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Better	1	98	23	26	26
Same	2	164	38	43	68
Worse	3	123	28	32	100
Refused	27	8	2	Missing	
Don't know	33	44	10	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	
-------	--	-----	-----	-----	--

Valid cases 385 Missing cases 52

ASIANS Asian immigrants made MT life better

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

Better	1	87	20	25	25
Same	2	205	47	59	83
Worse	3	58	13	17	100
Refused	27	11	2	Missing	
Don't know	33	75	17	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	
-------	--	-----	-----	-----	--

Valid cases 351 Missing cases 86

RACEREL Race relations

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Improved	1	166	38	39	39
Same	2	205	47	48	87
Worse	3	54	12	13	100
Don't know	33	12	3	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	
-------	--	-----	-----	-----	--

Valid cases 424 Missing cases 12

HISPANIC Hispanice heritage

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	14	3	3	3
No	2	416	95	97	100
Dont know	33	7	2	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	
-------	--	-----	-----	-----	--

Valid cases 430 Missing cases 7

RACE Race category

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
White	1	379	87	87	87
Black	2	49	11	11	99
Asian	3	0	0	0	99

Native American	4	2	1	1	99
Other	5	4	1	1	100
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 433 Missing cases 4

DISCRIM Black difference due to discrimination

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	80	18	19	19
No	2	303	69	72	91
Maybe (volunteered)	3	37	9	9	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	15	3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 421 Missing cases 16

ABILITY Black differences due to inborn ability

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	29	7	7	7
No	2	378	87	90	97
Maybe (volunteered)	3	12	3	3	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	15	3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 419 Missing cases 18

CHANCE Black differences due to educational opp

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	110	25	26	26

No	2	269	62	63	89
Maybe (volunteered)	3	49	11	11	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	6	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 427 Missing cases 10

MOTIVATE Black differences due to motivation

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	198	45	48	48
No	2	175	40	43	91
Maybe (volunteered)	3	37	8	9	100
Refused	27	7	2	Missing	
Don't know	33	21	5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 409 Missing cases 28

MARITAL Marital status

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Married	1	260	60	60	60
Divorced	2	64	15	15	75
Separated	3	9	2	2	77
Widowed	4	30	7	7	84
Never married	5	69	16	16	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	3	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 433 Missing cases 4

EMPLOY Employment status

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

Fulltime	1	245	56	56	56
Parttime	2	31	7	7	63
Vacation	3	6	1	1	65
Unemployed	4	2	1	1	65
Retired	5	74	17	17	82
School	6	23	5	5	87
House	7	37	9	9	96
Other	8	18	4	4	100
Dont know	33	2	0	Missing	
		-----	-----		
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 435 Missing cases 2

SPEMPLOY Spouse employment

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Fulltime	1	163	37	63	63
Parttime	2	16	4	6	69
Unemployed	4	3	1	1	70
Retired	5	43	10	17	87
School	6	2	1	1	88
House	7	26	6	10	98
Other	8	6	1	2	100
	.	177	41	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 257 Missing cases 180

NEWSDAYS Days devoted to news source

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
One	1	27	6	6	6
Two	2	21	5	5	11
Three	3	34	8	8	19
Four	4	26	6	6	25
Five	5	45	10	11	36
Six	6	16	4	4	40
Seven	7	245	56	58	98
None	8	8	2	2	100
	.	14	3	Missing	

Refused	27	1	0	Missing
Don't know	33	1	0	Missing
		-----	-----	-----
Total		437	100	100

Valid cases 420 Missing cases 17

MFAIR Media fair

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	207	47	50	50
	2	79	18	19	69
	3	76	17	18	88
	4	34	8	8	96
Bad	5	18	4	4	100
	.	14	3	Missing	
	27	1	0	Missing	
	33	8	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 414 Missing cases 23

MBIAS Media biased

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	141	32	35	35
	2	77	18	19	54
	3	93	21	23	78
	4	42	10	10	88
Bad	5	49	11	12	100
	.	14	3	Missing	
	27	1	0	Missing	
	33	19	4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 402 Missing cases 35

MSTORY Media tell whole story

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	119	27	29	29
	2	103	24	25	54
	3	114	26	28	82
	4	36	8	9	91
Bad	5	39	9	9	100
	.	14	3	Missing	
	27	1	0	Missing	
	33	12	3	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 410 Missing cases 27

MACCU Media accurate

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	150	34	36	36
	2	114	26	28	64
	3	107	25	26	90
	4	27	6	6	96
Bad	5	15	4	4	100
	.	14	3	Missing	
	27	1	0	Missing	
	33	8	2	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 413 Missing cases 23

MTRUST Media can be trusted

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	172	39	42	42
	2	119	27	29	71
	3	82	19	20	90
	4	24	6	6	96
Bad	5	15	4	4	100
	.	14	3	Missing	
	27	1	0	Missing	
	33	10	2	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 412 Missing cases 25

TOMJOYN Listen to Tom Joyner

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	57	13	14	14
No	2	368	84	87	100
Don't know	33	11	3	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 426 Missing cases 11

JOYLIST Days listen to Joyner

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
One	1	9	2	16	16
Two	2	13	3	23	39
Three	3	7	2	12	52
Four	4	2	0	4	55
Five	5	11	3	21	76
Six	6	0	0	1	77
Seven	7	12	3	22	99
None	8	1	0	1	100
Don't know	.	379	87	Missing	
	33	4	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 54 Missing cases 383

TJMFAIR Joyner fair/unfair

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	35	8	64	64
	2	6	1	10	74
	3	10	2	18	92
	4	2	1	4	96

Bad	5	2	1	4	100
	.	379	87	Missing	
	33	3	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 55 Missing cases 382

TJMBIAS Joyner biased

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	21	5	39	39
	2	6	1	11	50
	3	14	3	26	76
	4	5	1	10	86
Bad	5	8	2	14	100
	.	379	87	Missing	
	33	4	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 54 Missing cases 383

TJMSTORY Joyner tells whole story

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	28	6	51	51
	2	5	1	10	61
	3	12	3	22	82
	4	6	1	11	93
Bad	5	4	1	7	100
	.	379	87	Missing	
	33	3	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 54 Missing cases 383

TJMACCU Joyner accurate

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Good	1	31	7	57	57
	2	10	2	18	74
	3	9	2	17	92
	4	3	1	6	98
Bad	5	1	0	2	100
	.	379	87	Missing	
	33	3	1	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 54 Missing cases 382

TJMTRUST Joyner trustworthy

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Good	1	34	8	63	63
	2	8	2	15	78
	3	10	2	18	96
	4	0	0	1	97
Bad	5	2	0	4	100
	.	379	87	Missing	
	33	3	1	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 54 Missing cases 383

BLKACTOR Watch shows with black actors

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	208	48	64	64
No	2	117	27	36	100
Refused	.	89	20	Missing	
	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	22	5	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 324 Missing cases 112

INFORMA Black-actor show gives info to pass alon

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	52	12	27	27
Agree somewhat	2	59	14	30	57
Agree not at all	3	84	19	43	100
	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	10	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 195 Missing cases 242

COMPANIO Black-actor show gives companionship

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	35	8	18	18
Agree somewhat	2	45	10	23	41
Agree not at all	3	115	26	59	100
	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	10	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 195 Missing cases 242

LIFESTYL Black-actor show improves lifestyle

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	24	5	12	12
Agree somewhat	2	32	7	16	28
Agree not at all	3	145	33	72	100
	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	4	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 201 Missing cases 236

JOBMTRS Black-actor show gives job information

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	16	4	8	8
Agree somewhat	2	35	8	17	25
Agree not at all	3	149	34	75	100
.	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	6	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 199 Missing cases 238

UNDERST Black-actor show helps me understand wha

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	45	10	23	23
Agree somewhat	2	58	13	29	51
Agree not at all	3	98	22	49	100
.	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	5	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 200 Missing cases 237

HAPPY Black-actor show makes me happy

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	97	22	48	48
Agree somewhat	2	60	14	30	78
Agree not at all	3	45	10	22	100
.	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	4	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 201 Missing cases 236

PASSTIME Black-actor show helps pass time

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	157	36	78	78
Agree somewhat	2	32	7	16	94
Agree not at all	3	13	3	6	100
.	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	4	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 202 Missing cases 235

IMMNEWS Black-actor show offers immediate news

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	21	5	10	10
Agree somewhat	2	23	5	12	22
Agree not at all	3	158	36	78	100
.	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	4	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 202 Missing cases 235

JOBHELP Black-actor show helps me with my job

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	13	3	7	7
Agree somewhat	2	16	4	8	15
Agree not at all	3	168	39	85	100
.	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	

Don't know	33	8	2	Missing
		-----	-----	-----
	Total	437	100	100

Valid cases 198 Missing cases 239

DAILYLIF Black-actor show relates to my daily lif

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	39	9	20	20
Agree somewhat	2	58	13	30	49
Agree not at all	3	101	23	51	100
	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	7	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 198 Missing cases 239

COMMISSU Black-actor show teaches me about common

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	71	16	36	36
Agree somewhat	2	67	15	34	70
Agree not at all	3	61	14	31	100
	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	6	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 199 Missing cases 238

ABREAST Black-actor show keeps me abreast of even

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	26	6	13	13
Agree somewhat	2	35	8	18	31

Agree not at all	3	135	31	69	100
	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	7	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 197 Missing cases 240

RELAX Black-actor show helps me relax

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Agree very much	1	141	32	71	71
Agree somewhat	2	40	9	20	91
Agree not at all	3	17	4	9	100
	.	229	53	Missing	
Refused	27	2	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	7	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 199 Missing cases 238

WHTACTOR Watch shows featuring white actors

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	317	73	78	78
No	2	90	21	22	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	27	6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 406 Missing cases 31

INTERNET Use Internet

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	250	57	57	57

No	2	187	43	43	100
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

NETUSE Primary internet use

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
E-mail	1	44	10	18	18
WWW	2	31	7	12	30
Both	3	161	37	65	95
Other	4	14	3	6	100
	.	187	43	Missing	
	33	1	0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 249 Missing cases 187

NETINFO How useful is the Internet

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Lowest	1	42	10	10	10
	2	4	1	1	11
	3	7	2	2	12
	4	10	2	2	15
	5	40	9	9	24
	6	10	2	2	26
	7	44	10	10	36
	8	72	17	17	52
	9	47	11	11	63
Highest	10	161	37	37	100
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

DEATHPEN Maximum penalty for murder

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Death	1	243	56	60	60
Life w/o parole	2	147	34	36	96
Lesser sentence	3	12	3	3	99
Other	4	3	1	1	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	28	7	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 405 Missing cases 32

INNOCENT Likelihood of innocent execution

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Not at all likely	1	102	23	24	24
Somewhat likely	2	228	52	53	77
Very likely	3	99	23	23	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	6	2	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 429 Missing cases 8

GOVILL Suspend death penalty in Tennessee

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	209	48	52	52
No	2	189	43	48	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	35	8	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 398 Missing cases 39

RETIRE Will Social Security be around when you

Valid Cum

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Yes	1	113	26	34	34
No	2	216	49	66	100
	.	74	17	Missing	
Don't know	33	35	8	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 329 Missing cases 108

 INVEST Market investment, personal or retiremen

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	222	51	64	64
No	2	124	28	36	100
	.	74	17	Missing	
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	15	4	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 346 Missing cases 91

 PERCTINC Percent saved for retirement

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
None	1	69	16	21	21
less than 10%	2	100	23	31	52
10-15%	3	100	23	31	83
More than 15%	4	54	12	17	100
	.	74	17	Missing	
Refused	27	4	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	37	8	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 323 Missing cases 114

 AFIRMACT Affirmative action helps qualified women

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	181	41	43	43
Somewhat agree	2	124	28	30	73
Neithre	3	28	6	7	79
Somewhat disagree	4	48	11	12	91
Strongly disagree	5	39	9	9	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	13	3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 421 Missing cases 16

PSTDISCR Affirmative action needed compensation

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	94	22	23	23
Somewhat agree	2	118	27	29	52
Neithre	3	18	4	5	56
Somewhat disagree	4	74	17	18	74
Strongly disagree	5	104	24	26	100
Refused	27	7	2	Missing	
Don't know	33	21	5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 409 Missing cases 28

AFFIREV Affirmative aciton reverse discriminatio

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	137	31	34	34
Somewhat agree	2	105	24	26	60
Neithre	3	28	6	7	67
Somewhat disagree	4	61	14	15	82
Strongly disagree	5	74	17	18	100
Refused	27	6	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	27	6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 404 Missing cases 33

 AFFIRINC New programs should prefer the poor

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Strongly agree	1	160	37	39	39
Somewhat agree	2	116	27	28	67
Neithre	3	28	6	7	74
Somwhat disagree	4	48	11	12	85
Strongly disagree	5	62	14	15	100
Refused	27	6	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	17	4	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 414 Missing cases 22

 OBLIGATE Successful blacks should help less succe

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	177	41	47	47
No	2	203	47	54	100
Refused	27	7	2	Missing	
Don't know	33	50	11	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 380 Missing cases 57

 INSTGOVT Confidence in state government

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Great deal	1	47	11	11	11
Only some	2	266	61	64	75
Hardly any	3	103	24	25	100
Dont knwo	33	21	5	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 416 Missing cases 21

RUNGOVT Confidence in local government

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Great deal	1	70	16	18	18
Only some	2	249	57	62	79
Hardly any	3	83	19	21	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Dont knwo	33	32	7	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 402 Missing cases 35

LAWENFOR Confidence in law enforcement

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Great deal	1	148	34	35	35
Only some	2	207	48	49	84
Hardly any	3	66	15	16	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont knwo	33	15	3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 421 Missing cases 16

SUNDQUIS Confidence in Sundquist

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Excellent	1	28	6	7	7
Good	2	103	24	25	32
FAir	3	179	41	44	76
Poor	4	99	23	24	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Dont knwo	33	27	6	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 409 Missing cases 28

PURCELL Confidence in Purcell

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Excellent	1	48	11	15	15
Good	2	153	35	47	61
FAir	3	108	25	33	94
Poor	4	19	4	6	100
Dont knwo	33	109	25	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	
Valid cases	328	Missing cases	109		

TURNER Confidence in Turner

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Excellent	1	30	7	9	9
Good	2	151	35	46	55
FAir	3	118	27	36	91
Poor	4	30	7	9	100
Dont knwo	33	108	25	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	
Valid cases	329	Missing cases	108		

UNFAIRPO Police treaded you unfairly

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	120	28	28	28
No	2	313	72	72	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	2	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	
Valid cases	433	Missing cases	4		

RACPRO Racial profiling a major problem

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	163	37	42	42
No	2	202	46	52	94
	3	25	6	6	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	44	10	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 390 Missing cases 47

STOPPOL You or anyone you know stopped by police

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	231	53	54	54
No	2	196	45	46	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	8	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 427 Missing cases 10

ARESTPOL You or anyone you know arrested recently

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	83	19	19	19
No	2	347	79	81	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	5	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 430 Missing cases 7

POLRACE How common among police is racism

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very common	1	48	11	13	13
Fairly common	2	133	30	35	48
Fairly rare	3	140	32	37	85
Very rare	4	58	13	15	100
Refused	27	5	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	53	12	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	
Valid cases	379	Missing cases	58		

JUSTBLK Judicial system biased against blacks

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	114	26	29	29
No	2	283	65	71	100
Refused	27	7	2	Missing	
Don't know	33	33	8	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	
Valid cases	397	Missing cases	40		

TRANJURY

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	5	1	94	94
	33	0	0	6	100
	.	432	99	Missing	
Total		437	100	100	
Valid cases	5	Missing cases	432		

BLKJURY1 Black jurors more likely to convict white

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Generally true	1	49	11	25	25
Not true	2	146	34	75	100
	.	216	49	Missing	
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	24	5	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 196 Missing cases 241

WHTJURY2 White jurors more likely to convict blac

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Generally true	1	84	19	41	41
Not true	2	119	27	59	100
	.	216	49	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	17	4	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 203 Missing cases 234

WHTJURY1 White jurors more likely to convict blac

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Generally true	1	65	15	34	34
Not true	2	127	29	66	100
	.	221	51	Missing	
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	21	5	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 192 Missing cases 245

BLKJURY2 Black jurors more likely to convict whit

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Generally true	1	65	15	34	34
Not true	2	127	29	66	100
	.	221	51	Missing	
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	21	5	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 192 Missing cases 245

HARSH Race treated more harshly by juries

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Blacks	1	168	39	41	41
Whites	2	18	4	4	46
Same	3	220	51	54	100
Refused	27	7	2	Missing	
Don't know	33	24	5	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 406 Missing cases 31

SIMPSON O.J. guilty on not guilty

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Guilty	1	56	13	13	13
Not guilty	2	363	83	87	100
Don't know	33	19	4	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 418 Missing cases 19

OJGUILT O.J. verdict correct

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	70	16	23	23
No	2	240	55	78	100
	.	74	17	Missing	
Refused	27	4	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	49	11	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 310 Missing cases 127

WORKCAMP Work in campaign

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	57	13	13	13
No	2	378	87	87	100
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 436 Missing cases 1

POLMONEY Contributed campaign money

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	135	31	31	31
No	2	301	69	69	100
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 436 Missing cases 1

GOVVOL Volunteered for board

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	40	9	9	9

No	2	395	90	91	100
Dont know	33	2	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 435 Missing cases 2

GOVMEET Attended local government meeting

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	142	33	33	33
No	2	293	67	67	100
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 436 Missing cases 1

POLINFOR Met/worked with neighborhood/community g

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	198	45	45	45
No	2	238	55	55	100
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 436 Missing cases 1

REGVOTE Registered to vote

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	376	86	87	87
No	2	57	13	13	100
Dont know	33	4	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 433 Missing cases 4

OFTVOTE Vote how often

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	45	10	11	11
Rarely	2	31	7	7	18
Some of time	3	57	13	13	31
Most of time	4	103	24	24	55
All of time	5	196	45	45	100
Refused	27	0	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	4	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 432 Missing cases 5

VOTEPRES Vote for Pres. 1996

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	333	76	77	77
No	2	98	22	23	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	5	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 430 Missing cases 7

TALKINT1

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	2	1	51	51
	2	0	0	10	61
	11	1	0	32	93
	33	0	0	7	100
	.	432	99	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 5 Missing cases 432

TALKFED Talk about national government

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	33	8	8	8
Seldom	2	46	11	11	18
Sometimes	3	144	33	33	51
Often	4	211	48	49	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	1	0	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 434 Missing cases 3

TALKLGOV Talk about local state/government

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	43	10	10	10
Seldom	2	88	20	20	30
Sometimes	3	146	33	34	64
Often	4	158	36	36	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	1	0	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 435 Missing cases 2

TALKFORN Talk about foreign happenings

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	53	12	12	12
Seldom	2	94	22	22	34
Sometimes	3	164	38	38	72
Often	4	123	28	28	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	1	0	Missing	

		Total	437	100	100
Valid cases	435	Missing cases	2		

TALKECON Talk about economy

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	33	8	8	8
Seldom	2	65	15	15	23
Sometimes	3	151	35	35	58
Often	4	185	42	43	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	1	0	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases	435	Missing cases	2
-------------	-----	---------------	---

TALKCRIM Talk about crime

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	18	4	4	4
Seldom	2	50	12	12	16
Sometimes	3	157	36	36	52
Often	4	209	48	48	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	1	0	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases	435	Missing cases	2
-------------	-----	---------------	---

TALKSCHL Talk about education

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	25	6	6	6
Seldom	2	68	16	16	21
Sometimes	3	113	26	26	47

Often	4	230	53	53	100
Don't know	33	2	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 435 Missing cases 2

MEMCHRCH Member of place of worhsip

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	322	74	74	74
No	2	111	25	26	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 433 Missing cases 4

OFTCHRCH Attendance at worship

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	56	13	13	13
Seldom	2	100	23	23	36
About once a month	3	45	10	11	47
Almost every week	4	93	21	22	68
Every week	5	137	32	32	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Dont know	33	3	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 432 Missing cases 5

TYPCHRCH Religion type

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Christian	1	262	60	97	97
Something else	4	9	2	3	100

.	161	37	Missing
27	2	0	Missing
33	3	1	Missing

Total	437	100	100

Valid cases 271 Missing cases 165

DENOM Denomination

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Southern Baptists	1	65	15	25	25
Other Baptist	2	35	8	14	39
Church of Christ	3	44	10	17	56
Episcopal	4	4	1	2	58
Lutheran	5	4	1	2	59
United Methodist	6	26	6	10	69
Other Methodist	7	4	1	2	71
Presbyterian	8	14	3	5	76
Roman Cahtolic	9	23	5	9	85
Other Christian	10	38	9	15	100
.	23	0	0	0	100
Refused	.	175	40	Missing	
Dont know	27	3	1	Missing	
	33	2	1	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 257 Missing cases 180

RACHURCH Race of your church

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Same as you	1	212	49	81	81
Mixed	2	45	10	17	99
Different from you	3	4	1	2	100
.	.	175	40	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	

Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 261 Missing cases 176

ORTHODOX Agree with your denomination's teaching

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
None	1	3	1	1	1
A few	2	3	1	1	2
About half	3	19	4	7	10
Most	4	151	35	58	67
All	5	86	20	33	100
	.	175	40	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 262 Missing cases 175

OFTPRAY Pray how often

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	28	6	7	7
Less than weekly	2	14	3	3	10
Once a week	3	32	7	8	18
Several times weekly	4	85	19	20	38
Once a day	5	128	29	30	68
Several times a day	6	134	31	32	100
Refused	27	7	2	Missing	
Dont know	33	9	2	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 420 Missing cases 17

READWORD Read bible how often

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	83	19	20	20
Less than weekly	2	110	25	26	46
Once a week	3	65	15	15	61
Several times weekly	4	84	19	20	81
Once a day	5	68	16	16	97
Several times a day	6	13	3	3	100
Refused	27	7	2	Missing	
Dont know	33	7	2	Missing	

			-----	-----	-----
		Total	437	100	100
Valid cases	423	Missing cases	14		

WITNESS Encourage others to believe in Christ

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Never	1	115	26	28	28
Less than weekly	2	116	27	29	57
Once a week	3	69	16	17	74
Several times weekly	4	56	13	14	88
Once a day	5	32	7	8	96
Several times a day	6	16	4	4	100
Refused	27	12	3	Missing	
Dont know	33	20	5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
		Total	437	100	100

Valid cases	405	Missing cases	32
-------------	-----	---------------	----

CHHOURS Hours/week to religious work

Valid cases	276	Missing cases	161
-------------	-----	---------------	-----

CHLETTER Written a letter/church

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	72	17	27	27
No	2	192	44	73	100
	.	161	37	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	11	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
		Total	437	100	100

Valid cases	264	Missing cases	173
-------------	-----	---------------	-----

CHMEET Gone to meeting that made decisions/chur

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	150	34	56	56
No	2	116	27	44	100
	.	161	37	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	8	2	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 267 Missing cases 170

CHCHAIR Chaired a meeting/church

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	70	16	26	26
No	2	197	45	74	100
	.	161	37	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	8	2	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 267 Missing cases 170

CHSPEECH Given speech/presentation/church

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	85	20	32	32
No	2	181	42	68	100
	.	161	37	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	8	2	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 267 Missing cases 170

CHCONT Contacted government official/church

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	45	10	17	17
No	2	223	51	83	100
	.	161	37	Missing	
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	6	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 268 Missing cases 169

LOCVCINT Interest in community politics/affairs

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very interested	1	133	31	31	31
Somewhat interested	2	184	42	43	73
Slightly interested	3	68	16	16	89
Not at all intereste	4	47	11	11	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	3	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 433 Missing cases 4

NATINT National political interest

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very interested	1	176	40	41	41
Somewhat interested	2	161	37	37	78
Slightly interested	3	52	12	12	90
Not at all intereste	4	42	10	10	100
Don't know	33	5	1	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 432 Missing cases 5

AFRICAN Interest in issues affecting black commu

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very interested	1	102	23	24	24
Somewhat interested	2	185	42	44	68
Slightly interested	3	79	18	19	87
Not at all intereste	4	55	13	13	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	14	3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 422 Missing cases 15

SAFECRM Safer from crime than year ago

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Safter	1	104	24	24	24
Same (volunteered)	2	198	45	46	71
Not as safe	3	125	29	29	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	9	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 427 Missing cases 10

POLVIEWS Political orientation

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Far left	1	13	3	3	3
Liberal	2	55	13	14	17
Middle of road	3	172	40	43	60
Conservative	4	142	33	36	96
Far right	5	17	4	4	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Don't know	33	34	8	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 400 Missing cases 37

POLAFFIL Political affiliation

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Republican	1	141	32	34	34
Democrat	2	153	35	37	71
Independent	3	69	16	17	88
Other	4	51	12	12	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Dont know	33	20	5	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 414 Missing cases 23

PARTYID Party closest to for Independents

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Republican	1	25	6	22	22
Democrat	2	29	7	25	47
	3	61	14	53	100
	.	318	73	Missing	
Dont know	33	5	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 115 Missing cases 322

EDUC Education level

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
None	1	4	1	1	1
Elementary	2	17	4	4	5
Some HS	3	22	5	5	10
HS grad	4	117	27	28	38
Some trade	5	15	3	4	41
Some college	6	115	26	27	68
College grad	7	94	22	22	91
Some graduate	8	12	3	3	93
Masters	9	26	6	6	99

Doctorate	10	3	1	1	100
Refused	27	12	3	Missing	
Dont know	33	1	0	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 424 Missing cases 13

QUALIF Colleagues privately question your quali

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	91	21	21	21
No	2	333	76	79	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	12	3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 424 Missing cases 13

TNYEARS Tennessee years

Valid cases 417 Missing cases 20

COUNTY County of residence

Valid cases 426 Missing cases 11

OWNHOME Own your home

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	311	71	73	73
No	2	97	22	23	95
		3	5	5	100
Refused	27	3	1	Missing	
Dont know	33	7	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 428 Missing cases 9

NEIGHBOR Neighborhood racial mixture

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Same	1	250	57	58	58
Different	2	15	3	4	62
Blend	3	165	38	38	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Don't know	33	5	1	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 430 Missing cases 6

FRIENDS Different race among 10 closest

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	149	34	34	34
	1	52	12	12	46
	2	68	16	16	62
	3	59	14	14	75
	4	26	6	6	81
	5	36	8	8	89
	6	9	2	2	91
	7	5	1	1	93
	8	3	1	1	93
	9	7	2	2	95
	10	4	1	1	96
	50	2	0	0	96
	99	17	4	4	100
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

FRIHOM Had different race for dinner

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
-------------	-------	-----------	---------	---------------	-------------

Yes	1	176	40	42	42
No	2	249	57	59	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	11	2	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 425 Missing cases 12

HARMAFAC Know someone harmed by Affirmative Actio

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	58	13	14	14
No	2	359	82	86	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	19	4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 416 Missing cases 20

HELPAFAC Know someone helped by Affirmative Actio

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	107	25	26	26
No	2	309	71	74	100
Refused	27	1	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	20	5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 416 Missing cases 21

YEARBORN Year born

Valid cases 431 Missing cases 6

INCOME Family income

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
10,000 or less	1	24	5	6	6
10,001-15,000	2	24	5	6	13
15,001-20,000	3	25	6	7	20
20,001-25,000	4	31	7	9	28
25,001-30,000	5	29	7	8	36
30,001-35,000	6	23	5	6	42
35,001-40,000	7	23	5	6	49
40,001-45,000	8	24	6	7	55
45,001-50,000	9	24	5	6	62
50,001-75,000	10	65	15	18	79
75,001-99,999	11	29	7	8	87
100,000 or more	12	46	10	13	100
	21	0	0	0	100
Refused	27	50	12	Missing	
Dont know	33	20	5	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 367 Missing cases 70

TRUST Can most be trusted

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	304	70	73	73
No	2	115	26	27	100
Refused	27	2	0	Missing	
Dont know	33	17	4	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 418 Missing cases 19

GENDER Gender

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Male	1	211	48	48	48
Female	2	226	52	52	100
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

 RATERESP Respondent rating

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Wholly pleasant	1	354	81	81	81
Mostly pleasant	2	66	15	15	96
Equal	3	12	3	3	99
Mostly unpleasant	4	6	1	1	100
Wholly unpleasant	5	0	0	0	100
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

 AGE

Valid cases 421 Missing cases 16

 AGECAT Age category

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
18-34	1	124	28	29	29
35-49	2	141	32	34	63
50-64	3	86	20	20	83
65+	4	70	16	17	100
Under 18	99	16	4	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 421 Missing cases 16

 RACECAT Race category

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	379	87	87	87
	2	49	11	11	99

		3	6	1	1	100
		99	4	1	Missing	
			-----	-----	-----	
		Total	437	100	100	
Valid cases	433	Missing cases	4			

RACEWT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	6	1	1	1
	0	49	11	11	13
	1	4	1	1	13
	1	379	87	87	100
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	
Valid cases	437	Missing cases	0		

SEXWT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	226	52	52	52
	1	211	48	48	100
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	
Valid cases	437	Missing cases	0		

AGEWT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	141	32	32	32
	1	16	4	4	36
	1	86	20	20	56
	1	124	28	28	84
	1	70	16	16	100
		-----	-----	-----	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

WEIGHT

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

WHITBLAK black-white dichotomy rest missing

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
White	1	379	87	89	89
Black	2	49	11	11	100
	.	9	2	Missing	
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 427 Missing cases 9

MSA 8 co MSA v. non-MSA

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
MSA	1	287	66	66	66
Non-MSA	2	150	34	34	100
	Total	437	100	100	

Valid cases 437 Missing cases 0

INCAT Income category

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Less than 15K	1	47	11	13	13
15-25K	2	56	13	15	28
25-40K	3	75	17	21	49
40-50K	4	48	11	13	62
More than 50K	5	140	32	38	100

.	71	16	Missing
	-----	-----	-----
Total	437	100	100

Valid cases 366 Missing cases 71

EDCAT Education category

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
H.S. Grad. or less	1	161	37	38	38
Some College or Trad	2	129	30	31	68
College Grad. to Pos	3	134	31	32	100
.		13	3	Missing	
		-----	-----	-----	
Total		437	100	100	

Valid cases 424 Missing cases 13